

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITEDISTATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. 1807 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,778	09/05/2003	Adolf Stender	64251-022CIP	8988
29492	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
HUSCH & E	PPENBERGER, LLC		BISSETT, M	ELANIE D
SUITE 1400	ICLLI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PEORIA, IL 61602			1711	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/656,778	STENDER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Melanie D. Bissett	1711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
<u> </u>	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Diamoniti							
	on of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	152)			

1. The rejections based on 35 USC 103 have been altered to reflect the amended claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant relies on an incorporation by reference for support of the amended language. However, it is not evident that the applicant intended to incorporate the reference into the disclosure of the application, especially since the language "incorporated by reference" is nowhere in the specification. Thus, the newly added language is new matter.

Specification

4. The amendment filed 10 August 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the amended

language regarding the crosslinked polyurethane gels, which the applicant claims is supported by an incorporation by reference.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgdörfer et al. in view of Downey et al. as evidenced by Withers.
- 7. Burgdörfer discloses polyurethane elastic gels for padding elements comprising a crosslinked polyurethane matrix, a polyhydroxy liquid dispersing agent, and optional fillers or additives (abstract). Since the reference discusses that some of the polymer chains are covalently crosslinked, while others are bonded mechanically, it is the examiner's position that the reference teaches undercured reaction products of polyols and polyisocyanates (col. 4 lines 27-39). The dispersing agent is partially bonded to the polyurethane gel matrix while still possessing reactive groups. Polyisocyanates include aliphatic, cycloaliphatic, araliphatic, and aromatic polyisocyanates fitting the applicant's formula (col. 7 lines 15-33). Pure and modified isocyanates, urethanised isocyanates, allophanised isocyanates, and biuretised isocyanates are all taught (col. 7 line 54-col. 8 line 38). Polyols include those having molecular weights of 1000-12,000 having OH numbers between 20 and 112 (col. 3 lines 18-39). The polyurethane is formed at an

icocyanate index of 15-60, with the product of isocyanate functionality to polyol functionality of at least 10 (col. 3 lines 27-59).

- 8. The reference teaches the inclusion of fillers or additives in amounts up to 50% by weight, noting that fillers and additives to be used are those conventional in polyurethane chemistry (col. 9 lines 28-30; col. 10 lines 46-48). However, the reference does not specify the use of elastic microspheres. Downey teaches polymer compositions, where fillers are incorporated into polyurethanes in a liquid or gel state to reduce the density of the composition (abstract; col. 4 lines 8-17). Dualite M6001AE spheres are taught and exemplified (col. 5 line 9; examples), where Withers has disclosed the materials as hollow expanded polyolefinic polyvinylidene chloride copolymers coated in calcium carbonate and having a mean particle size of 50 microns. Because the compositions include agents to prevent separation of the spheres from the matrix material and because the references both teach homogeneously mixing fillers, it is the examiner's position that the references suggest distributing the fillers to the exclusion of air bubbles. It is the examiner's position that it would have been prima facie obvious to include the expanded elastic spheres of the Downey invention in the gel materials of Burgdörfer to help reduce the density of the materials. Such a material would possess the applicant's claimed hardness and elasticity properties.
- 9. Regarding claim 12, Burgdörfer teaches combinations of polyols (examples) and teaches that polyols should have OH values of 20-112. However, the reference does not appear to exemplify the combination of a polyol having an OH value below 112 with one having an OH value of 112-600. It is the examiner's position that it would have

been prima facie obvious to combine a polyol having an OH value below 112 with one having an OH value of 112 in any ratio necessary with the expectancy of forming equally improved polyurethane cushioning gels.

10. Regarding the newly added claims, it is noted that Burgdörfer discloses molded gel articles having polyurethane film cover layers (col. 12 lines 15-51; col. 13 lines 21-34).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie D. Bissett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1068. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie D. Bissett Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

mdb